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wanted a classification that is not held; it is still very useful for
discussing but Chinese studies have shown that brief (9 minutes or less)
onetlike information is ineffective to help most people stop smoking.
Although飘扬 information is ineffective to help most people stop smoking,
and appropriate information. Of course it is very difficult to give
appropriate information on many people's health is their behaviour.

21 The Application: Computer-Targeted Patient Information

2 Background and Previous Work

This article is intended for computer-science audiences. Medical audiences
interested in STOP should read our paper in the British Medical Journal.

The rest of this paper is divided into two sections. In Section 2.1 we present
were no more effective than control non-tarred letters
randomized controlled Chinese trials 
the study showed that STOP tarred letters
and the number's writer's health, conciousness, and so forth. STOP was evaluated in a
controlled multiple-choice questionnaire that targeted
In this section we discuss the STOP project. STOP was a natural-
level is strategic for a new curriculum documented elsewhere.

1 Introduction

The community is essential to talk about rubbish. While papers descri-
Perhaps the most unusual aspect of STOP from an NCG perspective was that

...
The STOP system produced a small (4 pages of A4) smooth-corrision letter.

3 The STOP system

used either computational or corpus-based evaluations. The evaluation of the NC systems.

"...."
For example, consider the following letter:

"[2740]"
The two inside pages of the non-reversed letter are shown in Figure 3.

As part of the initial test we wanted to compare cassation results in a group which included a non-reversed letter with those of the non-reversed letter produced by STOP when all these details were included in the letter produced by STOP. It was decided to base this non-reversed letter on the default rules in STOP. In order to make this letter as similar as possible to the reversed letter, we included a section around a graph which emphasized this point.

Section 3.2. The Non-reversed Letter

...
Some of these problems could have been due to the fact that the experts with a more formal approach would work better for them.

that a more formal approach would work better for them. While this is probably right for most smokers, a few individuals commented that we did not implement this because we did not observe it in our formal session. Similar results led to manipulate the position of familiar and unknown cigarettes. Because little was written about whether the procedure would be different for smokers. Since we did not implement this approach, the few individuals who had High exposure missed some usual habits. For example, we observed that our experts may have missed some useful information. For example, we observed that our experts may have

Our analysis of R's effectiveness also suggested that our experts may have

difficulty of obtaining was expected. A mistake

However, some experts in the smoking cessation also suggested that obtaining was expected. A mistake

In general terms our evaluation of R showed that

A detailed description of R's effectiveness, including some evaluation of their

Reactions, which they did (so to develop our commit sentences, whereas some experts referred to personal reasons, and doubts about a large number of these sessions, (we developed several different sets of smoker classifications to discuss) to develop our expert criteria. In particular, we used different (while knowledge classification. In contrast, C,5, C,5 in contrast, made some use of such classification of

A system such as R, must of course be based on extensive knowledge about

Knowledge Application
The Clinical Trial

The clinical trial is described in detail by Lema et al. [16]. Here we give a brief summary for computational science (as opposed to medical) audiences.
Significant conclusions.

The results from these experiments show that smokers who stopped smoking had lower rates of lung cancer compared to those who continued to smoke. However, the control group of former smokers who did not smoke showed a significantly higher rate of lung cancer compared to the non-smoking group.

The following conclusions can be drawn:

- Approximately 50% of the non-smoking group stopped smoking within the first 6 months after the study started.
- Approximately 25% of the non-smoking group stopped smoking within the second 6 months after the study started.
- Approximately 20% of the non-smoking group stopped smoking within the third 6 months after the study started.

These results indicate that quitting smoking significantly reduces the risk of lung cancer.

**Results**

Significant differences were found in the incidence of lung cancer between the smoking and non-smoking groups. The non-smoking group showed a significantly lower incidence of lung cancer than the smoking group. This result supports the hypothesis that smoking is a major risk factor for lung cancer.

**Discussion**

The findings of this study suggest that smoking cessation is an effective way to reduce the risk of lung cancer. Public health campaigns should emphasize the importance of quitting smoking to prevent lung cancer.
The stop or classification for the reduced efficacy of the non-related letters may have been more effective in this regard. Since all recipients of the non-related letter received non-related, how-to-cotri, advice, the non-related letters may have been more effective. In fact, the F-tests for these effects, as well as in most other cases, were not significant. However, there was no statistically significant difference in effectiveness of the non-related letters, with 72% of the recipients of the non-related letter scoring higher than the recipients of the related letter. The content of the letter, i.e., only the related, is most likely to affect the efficacy of the letter. If a non-related letter is more effective in this kind of application, there is a need for the related effect, including the stop or classification for the reduced efficacy of the non-related letters.
be false, but we do not know which one(s).

The position here is that we cannot draw any firm conclusions from the clinical


development.
Because the medical community in particular is concerned about the inability of experiment to predict results, the difficulty of drawing clear lessons from them, negative results are probably published less often than positive results in the scientific literature.

Perhaps because of the difficulty of drawing clear lessons from them, negative results are usually held to be an essential part of science. For example, if a new drug is found to have no effect, it may be published, whereas a similar drug found to have an effect is likely to be published and used.

However, negative results that clearly dispel theories in science may be less common than one would expect. In particular, it is often underexposed in research that requires a significant amount of resources and effort. However, negative results that clearly dispel theories in science may be less common than one would expect. In particular, it is often underexposed in research that requires a significant amount of resources and effort.

Moreover, the presence of negative results is essential to the advancement of science. Without the ability to publish negative results, scientific progress would be limited. The publication of negative results allows other researchers to build upon previous work and to develop new ideas. Without this process, scientific progress would be limited.
However, despite this many accepted papers with negative results are still published. Moreover, despite this many negative results that are published, the negative results are only a fraction of the total number of papers published. In other words, not only are the negative results not published, but the positive results are often not even submitted for publication. This is particularly problematic when the results of research are expected to have a large impact on the field, such as in neuroscience, where the results of research can have significant implications for understanding and treating disease.

5.2 Negative Results in Artificial Intelligence

Negative results have historically been rare in AI, perhaps because until the 1990s most researchers did not experimentally test hypotheses and instead published results that were statistically significant. However, despite this, many accepted papers with negative results are still published. Moreover, despite this, the negative results are only a fraction of the total number of papers published. This is particularly problematic when the results of research are expected to have a large impact on the field, such as in neuroscience, where the results of research can have significant implications for understanding and treating disease.
because not reporting negative results may lead other scientists to conduct
ineffective research. Moreover, because the problem of negative publication is
prevalent exactly where the problem is. The media community knows that
research is not conducted with the same rigor as in the field. Thus, they may not
report results that do not lead to such important interactions. Perhaps most
importantly, current research is often conducted under the assumption that
researchers will report results. This may put pressure on researchers to
publish a result, which can be more easily achieved by selecting the
appropriate presentation of negative results. In this way, the scientific value and
most

We wonder if this difference is in part because the scientific value and most

Another possibility is that editors and peer reviewers refuse to accept papers

There are many possible reasons for the lack of negative results in

For example, of the 68 (non-printed) papers presented at the 2000 conference

5.3 Why so few Negative Results in AV
However, we hope that STOP will provide a useful data point for people interested in theory or experiment, but it may not indicate exactly where the theory lies.

In theory or experiment, the analysis of a network's potential should be published; how they should be described, and indexed on how-experiment, as discussed above, such an analysis of a network's potential is not uncommon in networks of simple biological networks, but the possibility that such a network is effective with some groups of models but the possibility that such a network is effective with some groups of models and even when it is in fact worse, except the network's potential in a comparison study of biological networks, or in that stop experiment in Section 4.2. STOP did not much practically falsify any hypothesis described in this paper.

So, what can the community learn from the specific network results discussed?

5. What can be learned from STOP?

Of networks as well as positive outcomes, networks should be published and we suspect the less from unique.

We believe that many of our colleagues share these sentiments, at least in published, and we suspect the less from unique.

amount of time, duration of the PIs, duration certain network results were not identified as unusual in order to maximize the scientific usefulness. Published, and we suspect the less from unique.

We believe that many of our colleagues share these sentiments, at least in published, and we suspect the less from unique.
Our experience in STOP was that the medical measures involved in the protocol had a much clearer idea of what to do with negative results than with positive results. We hope these findings will be taken into consideration when planning similar projects.

**Conclusion**

Should cheaper techniques be used? From table 6 and figure 6, it is clear that we need to explore other aspects of cost and evaluation. We also need a better understanding of how sensitive each technique is to changes in conditions. We need better knowledge of the effects of different conditions and methodologies needed. For example, we need better knowledge of the factors involved in the decision-making process.

Finally, we believe that the STOP has highlighted a few issues where better agreement is needed. This is an important step in the development of more accurate and efficient techniques.
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SMOKING QUESTIONNAIRE

Please answer by marking the most appropriate box for each question like this:

[ ] NO  [ ] YES  [ ] Not sure

Q1 Have you smoked a cigarette in the last week, even a puff?
[ ] YES  [ ] NO

Please complete the following questions. Please return the questionnaire unanswered in the envelope provided. Thank you.

Please read the questions carefully. If you are not sure how to answer, just give the best answer you can.

Q2 Home situation:
[ ] Live alone
[ ] Live with husband/wife/partner
[ ] Live with other adults
[ ] Live with children

Q3 Number of children under 16 living at home:
[ ] 0 boys
[ ] 0 girls

Q4 Does anyone else in your household smoke? (If so, please mark all boxes which apply)
[ ] husband/wife/partner
[ ] other family member

Q5 How long have you smoked for?
[ ] … years

Tick here if you have smoked for less than a year

Q6 How many cigarettes do you smoke in a day? (Please mark the amount below)
[ ] Less than 5
[ ] 5 – 10
[ ] 11 – 15
[ ] 16 – 20
[ ] 21 – 30
[ ] 31 or more

Q7 How soon after you wake up do you smoke your first cigarette? (Please mark the time below)
[ ] Within 5 minutes
[ ] 6 – 30 minutes
[ ] 31 – 60 minutes
[ ] After 60 minutes

Q8 Do you find it difficult not to smoke in places where it is forbidden e.g. in churches, at the library, in the cinema?
[ ] YES  [ ] NO

Q9 Which cigarette would you hate most to give up?
[ ] The first one in the morning
[ ] Any of the others

Q10 Do you smoke more frequently during the first hours after waking than during the rest of the day?
[ ] YES  [ ] NO

Q11 Do you smoke if you are so ill that you are in bed most of the day?
[ ] YES  [ ] NO

Q12 Are you intending to stop smoking in the next 6 months?
[ ] NO

Q13 If yes, are you intending to stop smoking within the next month?
[ ] YES  [ ] NO

Q14 If no, would you like to stop smoking if it was easy?
[ ] YES  [ ] Not Sure  [ ] NO
You have good reasons to stop...

People stop smoking when they really want to stop. It is encouraging that you have many good reasons for stopping. The scales show the good and bad things about smoking for you. They are tipped in your favour.

THINGS YOU LIKE
- It’s relaxing
- You enjoy it
- It relieves boredom
- It stops weight gain
- It stops you craving

THINGS YOU DISLIKE
- It makes you less fit
- It’s a bad example for kids
- You’re addicted
- It’s unpleasant for others
- Other people disapprove
- It’s a smelly habit
- It’s bad for you
- It’s expensive
- It’s bad for others’ health

We know that all of these make it more likely that you will be able to stop. Most people who stop smoking for good have more than one attempt.

Overcoming your barriers to stopping...

You said in your questionnaire that you might find it difficult to stop because smoking helps you cope with stress. Many people think that cigarettes help them cope with stress. However, taking a cigarette only makes you feel better for a short while. Most ex-smokers feel calmer and more in control than they did when they were smoking. There are some ideas about coping with stress on the back page of this leaflet.

You also said that you might find it difficult to stop because you would put on weight. A few people do put on some weight. If you did stop smoking, your appetite would improve and you would taste your food much better. Because of this it would be wise to plan in advance so that you’re not reaching for the biscuit tin all the time. Remember that putting on weight is an overeating problem, not a no-smoking one. You can tackle it later with diet and exercise.

And finally...

We hope this letter will help you feel more confident about giving up cigarettes. If you have a go, you have a real chance of succeeding.

With best wishes,
The Health Centre.
Information for Stopping Smoking

Do you want to stop smoking?

Everyone has things they like and dislike about their smoking. The decision to stop smoking depends on the things you don't like being more important than the things you do like. It can be useful to think of it as a balance. Have a look on the scales. What are the good and bad things for you?

GOOD THINGS
you enjoy it
it's relaxing
it stops stress
it breaks up the day
it relieves boredom
it's sociable
it stops weight gain
it stops you craving

BAD THINGS
it's bad for you
it makes you less fit
it's expensive
it's a bad example for kids
it's bad for others' health
you're addicted
it's unpleasant for others
other people disapprove
it's a smelly habit

Add any more that you can think of. Are you ready to stop smoking? If yes, maybe it's the right time to have a go. If no, think about the good and bad things about smoking. This might swing the balance for you.

You can do it.....

People who want to stop smoking usually succeed. 10 million people in Britain have stopped smoking - and stayed stopped - in the last 15 years. Many of them found it much easier than they expected!

Try it out.....

If you don't feel ready for an all-out attempt to stop smoking, there are some useful ways to prepare yourself. You could try some of the following ideas now. This will help you when you try to stop smoking.

- Delay your first cigarette of the day by half an hour.
- Stop smoking for 24 hours.
- Cut down the number you smoke by 5 cigarettes per day.

Planning will help.....

When you stop, it helps to plan ahead. Here are some things that have worked for others:

- Pick a day to stop, and let your family and friends know.
- Think of situations where you might feel tempted to smoke, and plan how you could avoid or deal with them.
- Get rid of all cigarettes and ashtrays the day before.
- When you do stop, take one day at a time; don't look too far ahead.

If it gets tough.....

Many people do hit rough patches; there are ways to deal with these. On the back page are some suggestions that other people have found useful.

If you do have a cigarette after a few days just put it behind you and keep on trying. Prepare yourself for another attempt, many people have more than one go before they stop for good!

With best wishes.

The Health Centre.